UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHAD PELISHEK,

Plaintiff

v. Case No. 2:23-CV-1048

CITY OF SHEBOYGAN, et al.,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF ERRATA FOR ECF 125

On March 3, 2025, Counsel for Plaintiff filed his Brief in Support for Partial Summary Judgment (ECF 125). On April 11, 2025, Counsel for Defendants filed their Brief in Opposition. On April 28, 2025, Plaintiff discovered *sua sponte* that some of the descriptions of the cited cases were not accurate or that typographical errors existed. Plaintiffs have, therefore, made edits to reflect a more accurate description and removed typographical or clerical errors. The corrections are noted in the attached table. None of the corrections make any substantive change whatsoever and do not change the argument of the parties. Other than what is shown in the table below, Plaintiff has made no other changes to these documents.

The corrected version of the Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is filed simultaneously with this Notice of Errata.

Page No.	Original	Correction
9 and 13 (corrected description)	Swetlik v. Crawford, 738 F.3d 818 (7th Cir. 2013) ("falsified government records affecting taxpayer funds" are of significant interest to the public and matters of public concern) is incorrect.	(Police officer's union activities about alleged untruthful statements made in outside investigation report could be matters of public concern)
(removed quotes)	Givhan, 439 U.S. 410 (1979) (discrimination in public employment practices is a "matter inherently of public concern").	(discrimination in public employment practices is a matter of public concern).
11, 14 (changed to Cf and deleted cite on 14)	See Wolfe v. Barnhart, 446 F.3d 1096, 1106 (10th Cir. 2006) (rejecting government employer's claims that restrictions were necessary to maintain order and efficiency as "speculative" where agency failed to demonstrate how restricted speech would disput a positions)	Cf. Wolfe v. Barnhart, 446 F.3d 1096, 1106 (10th Cir. 2006) (upholding government employer's claims that restrictions were necessary to maintain order and efficiency unlike NTEU where agency failed to demonstrate how restrictions would disrupt effectiveness).
12	disrupt operations) In <i>Whitney v. City of Milan</i> , 677 F.3d 292, 298 (6th Cir. 2012), the Sixth Circuit overturned	In Whitney v. City of Milan, 677 F.3d 292, 298 (6th Cir. 2012), the Sixth Circuit
(corrected holding)	the district court's dismissal of an employee's First Amendment claims in nearly identical circumstances.	affirmed the district court's denial of qualified immunity with First Amendment claims in nearly identical circumstances.
14	Sprague v. Fitzpatrick, 546 F.2d 560 (3d Cir. 1977) (one employee ordered not speak	(statements regarding alleged misconduct
(corrected description)	publicly about office operations regarding his lawsuit finding broad restriction on external communications)	by district attorney are matters of public concern.)
16 (corrected description)	See <i>Thomas v. Cook Cty. Sheriff's Dep't</i> , 604 F.3d 293, 303 (7th Cir. 2010) (finding a custom where there was "a pattern of conduct that was widespread before the plaintiff felt its impact")	(finding a custom where there was a pattern of conduct that was widespread).

April 30, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jennifer DeMaster
Jennifer DeMaster
Wis. Bar No. 1124201
DEMASTER LAW LLC
361 Falls Rd # 610
Grafton, WI 53024
Phone: (414) 235-7488
jennifer@demasterlaw.com

Christopher I. Kachouroff VA Bar No. 44216 McSweeney, Cynkar & Kachouroff, PLLC/DOMINION LAW GROUP? 13649 Office Place, Suite 101 Woodbridge, Virginia 22192 Telephone: (703) 621-3300 chris@mck-lawyers.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 30, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing Notice of Errata with the clerk of court using the CM/ECF system, which will accomplish electronic notice and service for all participants who are registered CM/ECF users.

April 30, 2025.

/s/ Jennifer DeMaster